

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/750,203	10/750,203 12/31/2003		Bin Li	I-2-0482.1US	9186	
24374	7590	10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
VOLPE AN DEPT. ICC	ND KOE	NIG, P.C.	AHN, S	AHN, SAM K		
UNITED PL	AZA, SU	ITE 1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
30 SOUTH			2611	2611		
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	19103		DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_						
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summe	10/750,203		LI ET AL.						
Office Action Summa	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Sam K. Ahn		2611					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication a _l	ppears on the o	over sheet with the	correspondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	THE MAILING I ovisions of 37 CFR 1 his communication. imum statutory periofor reply will, by statunonths after the mail	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event od will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be the expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the attention to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this ⇔ NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				•					
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>3</u> 1	December 200)3 .						
2a) This action is FINAL .									
3) Since this application is in con	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the	practice under	Ex parte Quay	yle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending ir	n the applicatio	on.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	·								
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and	or election red	luirement.						
Application Papers									
9)⊠ The specification is objected to	by the Examir	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 Dec</u>			epted or b) obje	cted to by the Exam	iner.				
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to th	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	cluding the corre	ection is required	if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Offic	e Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p	e of: riority docume: riority docume:	nts have been nts have been	received. received in Applica	ation No	Store				
3. Copies of the certified or application from the Inte* See the attached detailed Office	rnational Bure	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view (PTO-948)	4	l)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Notice of Informal Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,203

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract *must be in a single* paragraph, and should not exceed 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 1, define "M-QAM", line 10, "value;" should be "value; and".

In claim 2, line 3, "transmitting symbol" should be "transmitted symbol".

In claim 3, line 1, define "q-ASK", line 4, define "N", line 10, "sum;" should be "sum; and ".

In claim 4, line 11, ";" should be "; and".

In claim 5, line 1, define "M-QAM".

In claim 6, line 1, define "q-ASK".

In claim 7, line 1, define "M-QAM".

In claim 8, line 1, define "M-QAM".

In claim 9, line 1, define "M-QAM", line 12, define " σ^2_n ".

In claim 10, line 1, define "q-ASK".

In claim 11, line 1, define "q-ASK", line 7, " $E(r_k)^2$ " should be " $E(r_k^2)$ ", " $E(r_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ ".

Page 2

In claim 12, line 1, define "q-ASK", line 7, " $E(r_k)^2$ " should be " $E(r_k^2)$ ", " $E(r_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k^4)$ ", " $E(d_k)^2$ " should be " $E(d_k^4)$ ", and " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k^4)$ ", and in line 9, " $E(r_k)^2$ " should be " $E(r_k^2)$ ", " $E(d_k)^2$ " should be " $E(d_k^2)$ ".

In claim 13, line 1, define "q-ASK", line 7, " $E(r_k)^2$ " should be " $E(r_k^2)$ ", " $E(r_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ ", " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ ", line 9, " $E(d_k)^4$ " should be " $E(d_k)^4$ ", and define " G_n^2 ".

In claim 14, lines 1 and 2, define "M-QAM" and "q-ASK", line 12, "Kurtosis component able" should be "Kurtosis attributable", as described in the specification on paragraph 0049, line 13, ";" should be "; and". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 1, the compliance of the claimed invention with the subject matter eligibility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 has been determined by the following analysis.

The claimed invention does fall within an enumerated statutory category claiming a method or a process. The claimed invention also fall with a 101 judicial exception claiming an algorithm or an abstract idea of performing calculations to

determine an amplitude of a signal, and the claimed invention covers a 101 judicial exception or practical application of the judicial exception.

However, treating the claim as a whole, the claim does not have any practical application by physical transformation, and further, does not produce a useful, tangible and concrete result. The claimed "to generate an estimated amplitude for the M-QAM signal" does not constitute as a physical transformation or produce useful, tangible result, since claim 1 as a whole stops at dividing step. It merely produces a value (number) and does not apply or use the number for any purpose as claimed. Therefore, the claim merely recites an algorithm directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 3,4 and 7-14 are rejected as applied to claim 1 above with the same analysis, wherein claims 2,5 and 6 directly depend on claim 1 or 4.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kenney et al. US 2004/0264589 A1 and US 2004/0264590 A1 teach demodulating QAM signal by estimating an amplitude of a signal constellation of the QAM signal.

Malm et al. US 2004/0264591 teach detection of M-QAM signal by estimating M-QAM symbol constellation decision boundaries.

Tarokh et al. Construction of OFDM M-QAM Sequences with Low Peak-to-Average Power Ratio, January 2003, IEEE, Vol.51, No.1, p.25-28 teach derivation of M-QAM signal from QPSK constellation with low peak-to-average envelope power ratios.

Tang et al. Effect of Channel Estimation Error on M-QAM BER Performance in Rayleigh Fading, December 1999, IEEE, vol.47, No.12, p.1856-1864, teach determination of BER of M-QAM in flat Rayleigh fading with imperfect channel estimates.

Kalet et al. QAM Transmission Through a Companding Channel – Signal Constellations and Detection, April 1994, IEEE, Vol.42, No.2/3/4, p.417-429, teach proper design of signal constellation and receiver structure for QAM signal over companding channels.

Zook et al. Adaptive Wireless Communication Signaling Algorithms for

Differential Amplitude Phase Shift keying in Fading Channels, 2001, IEEE, p.118122 teach an algorithm to maximize data rate for amplitude shift keying
modulation signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam K. Ahn Patent Examiner

10/2/06